

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,233		10/03/2003	Chuan-Hung Lin	LINC 3180/EM	8611
23364	7590	09/27/2004		EXAMINER	
BACON &		•	CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO		
625 SLATE FOURTH F		C .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				2835	
				DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/677,233	LIN, CHUAN-HUNG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (for y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matter.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are versions. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by	3 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objointo the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		. *
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in App he priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(s)/	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/677,233

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms, which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: the holes "in irradiating form", "facilitate reversed U metal wire", "middle section at four perimeters". On Page 4, line 19 mistyped reference number for the other fixture.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected as best understood under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lai.

Art Unit: 2835

Lai discloses the heat dissipation device for a computer comprising the hollow and flexible conduit 12, the two fixtures 14,16, having a circular hole in the center and positioning holes, the fixture 16 is rectangular, fixture 14 is attached to the computer case; the fan 30, the U-shaped wire 18 is used to attach the conduit to the fixture; the air conduit can be used for bi-directional airflow.

Lai discloses the claimed invention except rectangular fixtures on both ends of the conduit and the positioning holes are arranged as they can accommodate different sizes of CPU fans. Several prior art references cited in US PTO 892 Form, which are not applied at this time, disclose the rectangular fixtures on both sides of the conduit therefore it is obvious, it is also obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide additional holes for attaching different sizes of the CPU fans as well known and widely used practice in the industry.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,233

Art Unit: 2835

Page 4

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHERVINSKY

9/22/4